G. R. S. IX-5]

1892-93

Previous references.

File No. 16-92

192

Serial Nos 1-18

S.A.

Later references.

LR 207-92

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 18

Part B for September 1896

SUBJECT.

Relating Lakshminarayana, Bildar of the Seringapatam Taluk

218

Indexed.

24/6/22

W. 1868—GPB—16,826—23-7-21

CHIEF SECY.'S DEPT.

Submitted to the Dewan

For *Orders*

Papers sending with Mysore Depy C's Lr No A 2/19 March 1893 addressed to the Durbar Secy & transferred to the Chief Secy

Subject Case against Arunachalam Lakshmi Narasappa

The file is submitted with the Arunachalam Case papers & explanation Case papers translated and marginally annotated.

The District Office precis put with Depy C's letter No 854 of 17 Decr 92 has been carefully revised and several material points therein omitted have been inserted.

There is nothing, absolutely nothing, to prove that the Arunachalam was prompted by corrupt

should leave Mr
up during absent 9 weeks
Suspension is a point
in the Auditor's favor —

The documents were
ret'd on the 13th April be-
cause the Auditor believed
that there was no voucher
from Kincaid Haramund Bk
& shew that they had been
obtaining & with full
knowledge produced by
Mr Shelby — If he had
read the Docts & observed
that they were in favor
of the Temple he would
probably not have given
them back — No Officer
with Common sense
& have merit — As
is it appears from
explanation that the
Auditor is still under
impression that the
nds he ret'd are in favor
of Mr Shelby personally &
t in favor of the
mple! It is probable
is that he allowed
self to be hoodwinked
Mr Shelby's claim

Judgment was he has done it / in his absence
Mar 13th April 1851 (Chapter 201 16 in the Acts)

J Hamilton

The three Covenants have been covered & are now
in Possession of the Bank here in trust
in Possession of the Bank here in trust
One Mortgage Deed of the Mississippi Homes from Kincaid Haramund &
Wife to Kincaid from the Mississippi Homes from the Mississippi
Arkansas Can it is believed be Clear

Notes.

7. (12063)

Orig! Endowed Rs 12 000 odd. Land & Cash secured by registered Bonds delivered with list.

Must be after 23 June & before 6 Nov 91 in which latter Dasa V. died.

Depy amildar Krishna has went to temple & receive charge. Them at temple gave 4 addl docts. worth Rs 3300 & also a house for benefit of temple.

3 docts. 3 250 ret. by amildar.

15 about 91 — 3 000. Veeru Subbanna.
20 march 91 — 250. Kalingane Gowda.
To march 91 — decrees for interest.

Chelur Gowda

16 Dec. 90. first depn & 17 docts.

23 June 91. Sub Dn offn sanction.

Delivery of House & 4 ? 3 docts. in addn to 17 already given. — with possession of Temple

6 Nov 91. V. Chetty died

23 Nov 91. Prohibitory notices abt first 17 docts. & 3 addl ones.

13 April 92 — Pitha Chetty's deposition & amildars' order.

Documents ret. 1. Veeru Subbanna. 3000
2 Kalingane Gowda. 250.
Sund Bandy Chelur Gowda . . .

Gopis House rent
as 2 Tirumaliengar
Rs 50 [illegible] 1891.

What his decision v. t.

G335, 1/1/93

Deputy Commissioners Office,
Mysore District,
Dated Mysore 15th January 1893.

From,
A. Rangasami Iyengar Esquire
Deputy Commissioner

To, S.D. 16-92-1
The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore

Sir

With reference to Government Proceeding No 313-4 dated 10th instant, directing suspension Mux:F.115-92-3 of Lakshminarayanappa, Amildar of Chamrajnagar I have the honor to report that, subject to Government sanction, I have transferred, as a temporary measure, Khader Ali, Amildar of Naganangala to Chamrajnagar, and deputed Chokkanna Revenue Probationer, to take charge of the Naganangala Taluk.

2. Chamrajnagar is a large and important Taluk. It is still unsettled and as the Taluk and District Jamabandi have yet to be got through, I have thought it necessary to send an experienced and efficient Amildar to that Taluk.

3. Naganangala is a settled Taluk and

Comparatively quiet, and ChokKanna will be well able to manage it.

4. I request you will be so good as to obtain sanction to the above arrangements.

5. In conclusion I may add that Narsimah could not be thought of in connec with these arrangements, as he is at Hole Narsipur on leave with his father who is said to be dying.

× He is doing well now. M.R.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedt Servant,

A Ramasamy
Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

MR

10982
G4883

29-3-93

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore March 24th 1893.

From

A. Rangaswami Iyengar Esquire B.A. B.L.
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

2

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore,
Bangalore

S.A. 16-92-3

SIR,

With reference to your Telegram dated 23rd Instant, enquiring why the deposition of Thirthie Urs should not be taken if he is at Mysore, I have the honor to State that, as all the papers have been sent up to you, I am not able to take his explanation. Moreover as I was absent from Head Quarters on Jamabandy when your D.O. was received, I could not secure his explanation before forwarding the records

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obed^t Servt

A. Rangaswamy
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Compd:

17401-2
17435-92 of 21-4-93
22

Order 4

S.A. 16-92-3

The Government ha
very carefully considered
the explanation submitte
by Amildar La Kshmi-
narasappa regardin
his conduct in retur
-ing to one Tippa Shett
certain valuable Secu
rities which formed
part of the endowmen
of Laikshminarayana
Swami, Sri Rama-
davaru and Srinivasa
davaru temple at
Seringapatam, forma
-ed by the late —
Venkatanarain Chetty,
and which were in
deposit in the Seringa

2. The established facts which are relevant to the questn of the Amildar's responsibility are as follows: —

1st. One Venketa naraina Chetty built a temple at Seringapatam, dedicated it to — Lakshminaraina - swamii, Sri Rama dawaru and Sri - nivasadawaru, and set apart certain funds for the due performance of worship therein.

2nd. On 16th October 1890, the said Venketa - naraina Chetty applied to the Amildar of Seringapatam that the said temple and the funds set apart

apart for it should
be taken under
Government manag
-ment "so that the
"Charity founded by
"him might not fail
"through the mismana
"-ment & ca of his he
The Amildar enter-
tained this applic
-tion and Venkata
narain Chetty pro-
mised to produce
the documents re-
lating to the Endow-
ment.

3rdly. Accordingly
Venkata narain Chetty
on 16th December 1890
produced at the
Taluk Cutcherry 17
documents with a
list specifying them
These 17 documents

and list were duly
secured in the Taluk
Treasury. At the same
time (16th Dec. 1890)
a deposition was
taken from Venkata
narain Chetty by
the Taluk Sheristeda
and in this deposi-
tion he stated
fully his wishes as
to how the temple
should be managed
by the Government
and how the proceeds
of the Endowment
should be applied
He also added that
should he thereafter
give more money or
lands to the Endow
-ment, the same
should be dealt
with in accordance
with his wishes in
regard

regard to the 17 docu
ments already deliver
-ed. This deposition
was acquiesced in
by his adopted Son
Tippa Chetty.

4thly. After this the
matter was reporte
for the orders of the
Sub Division Officer
at French Rocks, an
that Officer, by orde
of 23rd June 1891, di-
rected that the —
temple and its
funds be taken ove
and managed by
the Government as
desired by Venkata
naraim Chetty.

5thly. Shortly after
this the Deputy —
Amildar proceeded
to the temple to take
Charge of it and ther

Venkatanaraim Ch.

Venkatanarainchetty handed over to him formal possession of the temple and its belongings in the shape of ornaments, utensils &ca, and at the same time gave also by way of an addition to the endowment described in his deposition of 16th Dec. 1890) 4 documents and a house. The documents then given were: —

(1) from Gejmian Tirumaliangar, dated 26th January 1891 for Rs. 50;

(2) from Veena Subbiamma, dated 15th April 1891 for Rs. 3000;

(3) from Kalyanagowda, dated 20th March 189. for Rs. 250

~~And~~

And

and (4) Indemnity bond from Chalve' Jowda, dated 20th March 1891, for due repayment of Rs. 250 by Kalyane Jowda.

The recitals in Every one of these documents was to the distinct Effect that the money secured by it belonged to the temple Endowment.

The house handed over was in possession of a tenant, Nanjapa, Registration Jumasta, and Venkatanarain Chetty wished it to be regarded and used as a part of the temple property.

The Deputy Amildar who took charge of the temple, its ornaments and utensils and the

Addition

additional Endowme
as above stated,
says that he took
a deposition from
Venkatanarain Chatty
on that occasion
and caused a pro
-per list to be pre-
pared of the items
of property of which
he obtained posses-
sion. Both deposition
and list are now not
~~now~~ for the coming
the date of this pro-
ceeding is not there
ascertainable. It
must have taken
place after the date of the
-Division Officer's
order of 23rd June 189
and before Venkat
narain Chetty's death
on 6th November 189
The four additional
documents above
referred

referred to were all
duly deposited in the
Taluk Treasury.

6th Pg On 6th November
1891 Venkatanarain
Chetty died.

7th Pg On 23rd November
1891, prohibitory notice
were issued from the
Taluk Cutcherry in
respect of the land
and money secured
by the 17 documents
delivered on 16th Dec
1890 and the 4 docu
-ments delivered
on the second occasion
and also as regards
the rent of the house
given on the latter
occasion.

8th Pg On 13th April
1892, Tippachetty,
adopted son of
Venkatnarainche

Venkata narain Chetty
applied to the Amildar
for the return to him
of Veera Subbanna's
bond for Rs. 3000 and
Kalyanesowdar's bond
for Rs. 250 and of the
house at Seringapatam
on an allegation
that they were not
included in the list
dated 16th Dec. 1890
of property handed
over to the Govern-
ment and had not
been given to the
Government. The
Amildar thereupon
ordered as follows:—

"The said two docu-
"-ments not having
"been made over to
"Sirkar for (the benefit
"of) the temple, notice
"should not have
"issued (regarding

"them). The documents
"should be returned
"this Tippa Chetty. Ston
"is not in our posses
"The notice is hereby
"cancelled."

9th Accordingly on t
same day, the Ami
-dar returned to
Tippa Chetty

(1) Veera Subbamma's
bond, dated 15th Apr
1891 for Rs. 3000;

(2) Kalyanee Jowda's
bond, dated 20th
March 1891; for
Rs. 250 with Chelve
Jowda's Indemnity
bond in respect of
the same.

10th The Amildar
forwarded up his action
as above by a report
dated 20th May 1892
to the Sub Division
Officer, recommendi

Tippa Chetty's

Tippa chetty's offer to take back the 17 documents delivered on 16th Dec. 1890 and paying in lieu of them Rs. 12,500 which could be invested in the Government Savings Bank. This report did not mention that the house and Veena Subbamma's and Kalyane' Jowda's bonds had been returned on 13th April 1892;

and 11th, Tippa Chetty received from Veena Subbamma on 25th August 1892 interest up to date and also Rs. 1000 out of the principal. He is also reported to have sold the house to the tenant, Nanjapa, since the Amildar's

order of 13th April 1892

3. The foregoing fac
give a very unfavou
-able complexion to
the Amildar's conde
in returning to Tipp
Chetty Veera Subbam
and Kalyanasowda's
bonds which were a
deposit in the Treasu
and in cancelling th
prohibitory notice o
23rd November 1891
which had been
issued to the tenan
of the house. His
explanation is that
he and that
he did not know at
the time that he
~~deed of property~~
formed part of the En
-dowment. ~~and that~~
the endowment list
of 16th Dec. 1890 did
then items of property
not include ~~them~~.

Assuming that his
explanati-

explanation is honest
it is nevertheless not possible to
acquit him of very
gross carelessness in
a culpable neglect
of his ordinary duty
from the one single
fact that the items
of property in questn
were not in the list
of 16th Dec. 1890, he seem
to have come to the
Conclusion that the
Government had no
right to keep them.
Any man of Common
Sense and ordinary
prudence would
have thought it ne-
cessary to enquire
how, when and why
the bonds came to be
in the Government
Treasury, for a Gover
-ment Treasury is
surely not a place
into which stray
items of property
with

with which Governments
have no concern, fini
their way. When Tipp
Chetty made the extr
-ordinary allegatio
that the items of pro
perty in question
had never been de
livered to the ~~Gover~~
Government, it woul
have occurred to an
~~reasonable~~ reasonable man to
call upon him to
account for the Gover
-ment ~~being~~ coming to the
possession of them,
but the Amildar
dispensed with all
such enquiry and
was Content to accep
the fact that the lis
of 16th Dec. 1890 did
not include the ~~item~~
property in question
as sufficient confir
mation of Tippachetty
allegation of non-
delivery to Govern-
ment

- ment. That a list
shewing the delivery
of the property in
question to the Deputy
Amildar on the second
occasion was not
forthcoming, and
that the Amildar
was ~~not~~ unaware
of its having been
in existence is, ~~no~~
~~justification for con-~~
~~duct so rash and~~
~~imprudent as his~~
~~in the present in-~~
~~stance. Moreover~~
A list or deposition
from Venkata narain
Chetty is of importance
only as evidence
of the voluntary gift
by him of the pro-
perty in question
as part of the en-
dowment. But
what can be better
evidence upon this

pleaded in justification of the Amildars, but this plea is utterly of no value ~~if Considered along with the Circs~~ under the peculiar circs of the Case.

point them the expre
recitals in the docu
ments themselves
which show that t
money secured by
them actually belon
to the temple and
not to the personal
estate of Venkata-
naraian Chetty Whic
his heirs could Clai
When these recitals
in the documents
were pointed out to
the Amildar by the
Dewan, he pleaded
that he was ignoran
of them, for he had
not taken the trouble
to examine the docu
ments he was re-
turning. He further
pleaded that he di
not even know the
dates of their execut
for, it is evident, ha
he known these date

he should also have known
that they were all
executed long after
16th Dec. 1890, that
they could not possibly
have been included
in the list of the last
mentioned date,
that they must have
come into Government
possession at some
subsequent date,
that there must be
some good reason for
their thus coming into the
possession of the Govern
-ment and so on.
Moreover, it is not
clear why an Official
of the Amildar's ex-
perience and in-
telligence thought
it justifiable to
disobey in this in-
stance the ——
ordinary rule of
Treasury

Treasury procedure
which prohibits the
return of anything
admitted into a
Government Treasury
without the Sanction
of Competent Authority

4. Under such
circumstances and
after giving the case
their best Considera-
tion, the Govern-
ment are compelled
to Conclude that
the Amildar's Con-
duct in returning
to Zippa Chetty the
deeds executed by
Veera Subbanna
and Kalyane Jowda,
and in releasing
in Zippa Chetty's favour
the house at Veringa-
patam, was the
result Either of a
desire

desire to help Tippa
Chatty at the expen
of the temple, or of
the want of that
degree of Common
Sense and pruden
which the Goverm-
ment has a right
to expect on the pa
part of its Officers
If there was the de-
sire to help Tippa
Chatty, and there-
Cause an unlawfu
or unlawful loss to the Temple
gain to him, then
the Amildar's Con-
duct would be dis
-tinctly dishonest
If there was merely
the want of pruden
and Common Sense
on his part, that
would make it in
-expedient to en-
trust him any

longer with any
responsible public
duty. The Govern-
ment are willing
to believe that ther
was no actual
dishonest intention
on his part, but
they are unable to
retain him longer
in the public
service with the
facts which have
been proved
against him.
And having regard
to his long service
extending over 30 years and his general
reputation for
honesty and Effi-
ciency, they resolve
to retire him on
pension with Ef
fect from the day
of his suspension

5. The Government much regret that there has been such grave misappropriation as has been brought to notice in this case in respect of funds entrusted to them for the maintenance of a religious Charity. The founder placed the management in the hands of Government, in order as he put it, "that "the Charity founded "by him might not "fail through the "mismanagement "of his heirs". He apparently feared misappropriation by his adopted son

Thippa Chetti

Tippachetty from
whom he had long
been divided, and
accordingly took th
precaution of plac
the funds of the Char
in the hands of the
Government and
thus died in peace
and Comfort. In
spite of all this, th
identical misap-
propriation which
he feared has —
actually happened
all through the reck
lessness of an —
Amildar. The —
Deputy Commissione
in the Mufassil De-
partment, must
proceed to recover
from Tippa Chetty
such portion of the
Endowment Fund
as he has misappro-

-priated under
Colour of the Amildar
Order of 13th April
1892, and Should
there be any deficit
not recoverable fro
him from any caus
whatsoever, the
same must be
made good by Amil
-dar Lakshminara
-nappa.

21/4/93

Chief Secty.

To. The Dy. Commr., Mysore Dt.
for information & guidance & for comr.
to Lakshminarappa, Shoree Sowcar

Copy to the Amildar Superintendent

(Recpt. is herewith returned)

Extract paras 4 & 5 to Comptroller
for information

To Dy. Comrs. of the Districts for info

AD AGAIN :—

Proceedings No. 313-4½—M. F. 115-92, dated 10th January 1893, directing the suspens
m Office of Amildar Lakshminaranappa and Muzarayi Gumasta Sivaramaiya in connect
h a case relative to the temple of Lakshminarayanaswami at Seringapatam.

AD ALSO :—

Letter No. A, dated the 19th March 1893, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Distri
mitting the explanations of the Officials above named.

No. 17401-2—G. F. 435-92, DATED, BANGALORE, 21ST APRIL 1893.

ORDER THEREON.—The Government have very carefully considered the e
nation submitted by the Amildar Lakshminaranappa regarding his conduct
urning to one Tippa Shetti certain valuable securities which formed part of t
lowment of Lakshminarayanaswami, Sri Ramadevaru and Srinivasadevaru temp
Seringapatam, founded by the late Venkatanarain Shetti, and which were
posit in the Seringapatam Taluk Treasury.

2. The established facts, which are relevant to the question of the Amilda
ponsibility are as follows :—

1*st*.—One Venkatanarain Shetti built a temple at Seringapatam, dedicat it to Lakshminarayanaswami, Sri Ramadevaru and Srinivas devaru, and set apart certain funds for the due performance worship therein.

2*nd*.—On 16th October 1890, the said Venkatanarain Shetti applied the Amildar of Seringapatam that the said temple and the fun set apart for it should be taken under Government manageme "so that the charity founded by him might not fail through t mismanagement, &c., of his heirs." The Amildar entertain this application and Venkatanarain Shetti promised to produ the documents relating to the endowment.

3*rdly*.—Accordingly Venkatanarain Shetti on 16th December 1890, pr duced at the Taluk Cutcherry 17 documents with a list specifyir them. These 17 documents and list were duly secured in t Taluk Treasury. At the same time (16th December 1890) deposition was taken from Venkatanarain Shetti by the Talu Sheristadar, and in this deposition he stated, fully, his wishes as how the temple should be managed by the Government and ho the proceeds of the endowment should be applied. He also adde that, should he thereafter give more money or lands to the e dowment, the same should be dealt with in accordance with h wishes in regard to the 17 documents already delivered. Th deposition was acquiesced in by his adopted son Tippa Shetti.

4*thly*.—After this the matter was reported for the Orders of the Sub-Divisio Officer at French Rocks, and that Officer, by Order of 23rd Jun 1891, directed that the temple and its funds be taken over an managed by the Government as desired by Venkatanarain Shetti.

5*thly*.—Shortly after this the Deputy Amildar proceeded to the templ to take charge of it and then Venkatanarain Shetti handed ove to him formal possession of the temple and its belongings, in th shape of ornaments, utensils, &c., and at the same time gave als (by way of an addition to the endowment described in his depos

tion of 16th December 1890) 4 documents and a house. The documents thus given were:—

(1)—from Yejman Tirumalaiengar, dated 26th January 1891, for Rs. 50;

(2)—from Vína Subbanna, dated 15th April 1891, for Rs. 3,000;

(3)—from Kalyane Gauda, dated 20th March 1891, for Rs. 250; and

(4)—Indemnity bond from Chelve Gauda, dated 20th March 1891, for due repayment of Rs. 250 by Kalyane Gauda.

The recitals in every one of these documents was to the distinct effect that the money secured by it belonged to the temple endowment.

The house handed over was in possession of a tenant Nanjappa, Registration Gumasta, and Venkatanarain Shetti wished it to be regarded and used as a part of the temple property.

The Deputy Amildar who took charge of the temple, its ornaments and utensils, and the additional endowment as above stated, says that he took a deposition from Venkatanarain Shetti on that occasion and caused a proper list to be prepared of the items of property of which he obtained possession. Both deposition and list are now not forthcoming and the date of this proceeding is not therefore ascertainable. It must have taken place after the date of the Sub-Division Officer's Order of 23rd June 1891, and before Venkatanarain Shetti's death on 6th November 1891. The four additional documents above referred to were all duly deposited in the Taluk Treasury.

6thly.—On 6th November 1891 Venkatanarain Shetti died.

7thly.—On 23rd November 1891, prohibitory notices were issued from the Taluk Cutcherry in respect of the land and money secured by the 17 documents delivered on 16th December 1890 and the 4 documents delivered on the second occasion, and also as regards the rent of the house given on the latter occasion.

On 13th April 1892, Tippa Shetti, adopted son of Venkatanarain Shetti, applied to the Amildar for the return to him of Vína Subbanna's bond for Rs. 3,000 and Kalyane Gauda's bond for Rs. 250 and of the house at Seringapatam, on an allegation that they were not included in the list, dated 16th December 1890, of property handed over to the Government and had not been given to the Government. The Amildar thereupon ordered as follows:—

said two documents not having been made over to Sirkar for (the benefit of) the temple, notices should not have issued (regarding them). The documents should be returned to this Tippa Shetti. House is not in our possession. The notice is hereby cancelled."

Accordingly on the same day, the Amildar returned to Tippa Shetti

Vína Subbanna's bond, dated 15th April 1891, for Rs. 3,000;

Kalyane Gauda's bond, dated 20th March 1891, for Rs. 250, with Chelve Gauda's Indemnity bond in respect of the same.

The Amildar followed up his action as above by a report, dated 20th May 1892, to the Sub-Division Officer, recommending Tippa Shetti's offer to take back the 17 documents delivered on 16th December 1890, and paying in lieu of them Rs. 12,500 which

could be invested in the Government Savings Bank. This repo did not mention that the house and Vina Subbanna's and Kalya Gauda's bonds had been returned on 13th April 1892,

and 11*thly*.—Tippa Shetti received from Vina Subbanna on 25th Augus 1892 interest up to date and also Rs. 1,000 out of the principa He is also reported to have sold the house to the tenant, Nanjapp since the Amildar's Order of 13th April 1892.

3. The foregoing facts give a very unfavourable complexion to the Amildar' conduct in returning to Tippa Shetti Vina Subbanna's and Kalyane Gauda' bonds, which were in deposit in the Treasury, and in cancelling the prohibitor notice of 23rd November 1891 which had been issued to the tenant of the house His explanation is that the endowment list of 16th December 1890 did not include these items of property and that he did not know at the time that they formed par of the endowment. Assuming that this explanation is honest, it is nevertheless not possible to acquit him of very gross carelessness and a culpable neglect of his ordinary duty. From the one single fact that the items of property in question were not in the list of 16th December 1890, he seems to have come to the conclusion that the Government had no right to keep them. Any man of common sense and ordinary prudence would have thought it necessary to enquire how, when and why the bonds came to be in the Government Treasury, for a Government Treasury is surely not a place into which stray items of property with which Government have no concern find their way. When Tippa Shetti made the extraordinary allegation that the items of property in question had never been delivered to the Government, it would have occurred to any reasonable man to call upon him to account for the Government coming to be in possession of them; but the Amildar dispensed with all such enquiry and was content to accept the fact that the list of 16th December 1890 did not include the property in question as sufficient confirmation of Tippa Shetti's allegation of non-delivery to Government. That a list showing the delivery of the property in question to the Deputy Amildar on the second occasion was not forthcoming, and that the Amildar was unaware of its having been in existence is pleaded in justification of the Amildar, but this plea is utterly of no value under the peculiar circumstances of the case. A list or deposition from Venkatanarain Shetti is of importance only as evidence of the voluntary gift by him of the property in question as part of the endowment. But what can be better evidence upon this point than the express recitals in the documents themselves which show that the money secured by them actually belonged to the temple and not to the personal estate of Venkatanarain Shetti which his heirs could claim? When these recitals in the documents were pointed out to the Amildar by the Dewan, he pleaded that he was ignorant of them, for he had not taken the trouble to examine the documents he was returning. He further pleaded that he did not even know the dates of their execution, for, it is evident, had he known these dates, he should also have known that they were all executed long after 16th December 1890, that they could not possibly have been included in the list of the last mentioned date, that they must have come into Government possession at some subsequent date, that there must be some good reason for their thus coming into the possession of the Government and so on. Moreover, it is not clear why an official of the Amildar's experience and intelligence thought it justifiable to disobey, in this instance, the ordinary rule of Treasury procedure which prohibits the return of anything admitted into a Government Treasury without the sanction of competent authority.

4. Under such circumstances, and after giving the case their best consideration, the Government are compelled to conclude that the Amildar's conduct in returning to Tippa Shetti the deeds executed by Vina Subbanna and Kalyane Gauda, and in releasing in Tippa Shetti's favour the house at Seringapatam, was the result either of a desire to help Tippa Shetti at the expense of the temple, or of the want of that degree of common sense and prudence which the Government has a right to expect on the part of its officers. If there was the desire to help Tippa Shetti, and thereby cause an unlawful gain to him or unlawful loss to the

temple, then the Amildar's conduct would be distinctly dishonest. If there was merely the want of prudence and common sense on his part, that would make it inexpedient to entrust him any longer with any responsible public duty. The Government are willing to believe that there was no actual dishonest intention on his part, but they are unable to retain him longer in the public service with the facts which have been proved against him. And having regard to his long service extending over 30 years and his general reputation for honesty and efficiency, they resolve to retire him on pension with effect from the date of his suspension.

5. The Government much regret that there has been such grave misappropriation as has been brought to notice in this case in respect of funds entrusted to them for the maintenance of a religious charity. The founder placed the management in the hands of Government, in order, as he put it, "that the charity founded by him might not fail through the mismanagement of his heirs." He apparently feared misappropriation by his adopted son Tippa Shetti from whom he had long been divided, and accordingly took the precaution of placing the funds of the charity in the hands of the Government and thus died in peace and comfort. In spite of all this, the identical misappropriation which he feared has actually happened, all through the recklessness of an Amildar. The Deputy Commissioner in the Muzarayi Department must proceed to recover from Tippa Shetti such portion of the endowment fund as he has misappropriated under colour of the Amildar's Order of 13th April 1892, and, should there be any deficit not recoverable from him from any cause whatsoever, the same must be made good by Amildar Lakshminaranappa.

T. ANANDA ROW,
Chief Secretary.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, for information and guidance and for communication to Lakshminaranappa, whose Service Register is herewith returned.
The Muzarayi Superintendent.
Extract paras 4 and 5 to Comptroller to the Government of Mysore for information.
The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts for information.

1893.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

GENERAL.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dated 21*st April* 1893.

No. 17401-2. / G. F. 435-92.

Retiring Lakshminaranappa, Amildar of the Seringapatam Taluk, for his conduct in returning to one Tippa Shetti certain valuable securities which belonged to a temple at Seringapatam and which were in deposit in the Seringapatam Taluk Treasury; and issuing instructions for making good the loss thereby caused to the temple.

13547 D/18-5-93
G.S.-898

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore 16th May 1893.

From S.A. 16-92-4

A. Rangasami Iyengar Esquire B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore,

SIR,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 17401-2/435-92 dated 22d ultimo, passing orders on the case relating to the endowment funds of the temple of Lakshmi Narainswami at Seringapatam, I have the honor to request that you will kindly return the vernacular records submitted with this office No. A dated 19th March 1893.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obed. Servant
A. Rangasami Iyengar
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Compd.
W.R.

16

The Deputy Com^r
Mysore Dr

Dated 24 May 93

No 1921
S.F. 435-92

Letter No V.P.

His No 1385 of the 15 May 93

As requested in the above has the honor to return the Vernacular papers submitted with his letter No A of 19 March 1893

B O

Mm
20/5.
Mandy

S.A. 16-92-5

(General Serial No. 32.)

189 3.

No. 562

Name of Petitioner. Sivaramiah late Sheikdar of

Village. Devalapoor Hobli

Taluk. Seringapatam

District. Mysore

Date of Arzi. 31 - 7 - 93

Date of Receipt. 21 - 8 - 93

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 1.

Forward to the Minister for favour of a note on this case

M/S

Draft for 28/8/93.

S.A. 16-92-6

ABSTRACT.

The Petitioner was suspended from duty in the matter of the return to Thippaji of certain documents &c which had been handed over to Govt by his father late Pension Sheriff Venkatanarana Setty on account of the temple he has erected at Seringapatam. Amildar Lakshminarayanaiya was made to retire in the case. Petitioner requests to know what orders have been passed concerning him.

H.V. 22/8

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92.—1000.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

BRANCH
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

The accompanying Petition No. 562 dated 31st July 93 received at the Dewan's Office from Sivaramaiah late Sheikdar of Devalapur Hobli, Nagamangala Taluk, praying for orders regarding his suspension in the matter of the Return of certain documents lodged by the late Venkatarama Setty is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the Mysore Superintendent for favor of a note on the Petitioner's case.

S. D. 16-9-93

By Order,

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE, 1st September 1893.

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—17-11-93—3,000

Put up
to record the case
Thos A

in
G.H.N. 435 of 1892 is put up...
...th
5/93.

4813. Petition dated 31/7/93

P. 239

Memo No 368

Enc: 2

My memo dated 7 January 893 marked A and the precis of correspondence marked B (both are on file in the General Records) give a succinct account of the petitioner's case.

2. The Govt Pro No 17401-2 dated 1st April 1893 disposed of only Lakshminarnappa's Case, but nothing has been stated therein about the petitioner. But the Dy Commissioner in the 10th para of his letter No 854 dated 17th Dec 1892 (which is also on the file) recommends dismissal of the petitioner who is now under suspension. This recommendation, I should say is just according to his, petitioner's, deserts; but as he was Sheikdar in the Nagamangala Taluk when he was suspended from office, his Case has now to be dealt with finally in the General Department.

6-10-93

A Secretary

MYSORE STATE'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
G'DRN. 562
DATE 24-8-87

ಶ್ರೀಕಂಠಯ್ಯನವರಿಗೆ ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಬಿದ್ದು ಬಿನ್ನಹ
ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವವರು ಮೈಸೂರು
ಬಿನ್ನಹ

ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಹಳ ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಅಕ್ಕಸಾಲಿಗ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಜನರು ನನ್ನ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಮೆಚ್ಚಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಕೆಲವರು ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಹಣ ಕೊಡದೆ ಸತಾಯಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅಂಥವರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬರು ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣದ ಸಬ್ ಮ್ಯಾಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಟರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಶಿವಪ್ಪನವರು. ಇವರು ಸುಮಾರು ಒಂದೂವರೆ ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಹಿಂದೆ ಒಂದು ಜೊತೆ ಬಳೆಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಿದ್ದರು. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಸುಮಾರು ಒಂದು ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಬೆಲೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಭಾಗವನ್ನು ಅವರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರು. ಉಳಿದ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲು ಅವರು ಸತಾಯಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೆ ನಾನು ಅವರನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿದಾಗ ಅವರು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣದ ಕೆಲವು ಜನರಿಗೆ ನನ್ನ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೆಟ್ಟದಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿ ನನಗೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಸಿಗದಂತೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅವರಿಂದ ಹಣ ಕೊಡಿಸಿ ನನಗೆ ನ್ಯಾಯ ಒದಗಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಧೇಯ
ಕೆ. ಶ್ರೀಕಂಠಯ್ಯ
ಅಕ್ಕಸಾಲಿಗ
ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣ

(General Serial No. 32.)

1893.

No. Camp No 547 130

Name of Petitioner. Shivaramiah Shekdar of Devalapur Hobli Nagamangala Talu

Village. now residing in Mysore

Taluk.

District.	Mysore
Date of Arzi.	2 — 11 — 93.
Date of Receipt.	4 — 11 — 93.
Date of Disposal.	
No. of Enclosures.	— Two —

S A. 16-92-8

Drafted

ABSTRACT.

Reminder petition

Praying for the cancellation of the order suspending petitioner who was mazrai Gumasta of the Seringapatam Taluk for certain irregularities in the form of the documents presented by one Shroff Venkatanarayana Shetti in favor the temple built by him at Seringapatam

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

S.A. 16-92-9

Camp Reqst.

The accompanying Petition No. 577 / 1305 dated 22 November/93 received at the Dewan's Office from Sivaramiah Shekdar of Devalapur Hobli, Nagamangala Taluk, praying for the cancellation of the order suspending him from the Office of Muzrai gumasta of Seringapatam Taluk is forwarded, together with its two enclosures, to the Muzrai Secretary

Ref 01 / 20/1893

No 584 En 3 9193.

Memo Ref. 329

The Petitioner's appeal petition with the Muzrai Superintendent's note recorded thereon, No. 368. dated 6th. October 1893 is now pending in the General Department.

The present petition referring to the same subject is therefore forwarded to the Chief Secretary.

By order

PVO

4-12-93.

a Secretary Muzrai Secy

5th. D.L. No. C.P. Branch 5th. D.L.

By Order,

for Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE, 8 Novr. 1893. 20

Ack'd 22 Nov

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—17-11-92—3,000.

Over Leaf

The Lessee
Clerk of Opinion
that Swearingen
Cannot be retired
& remain under
the Circs of this
Case - His name
will therefore be
struck off the R.N.
for it appears from
dates subsequent
& he has any
Lessee at his Credit
Qualifying him
for pension The Secy
of will submit a
Bill for such pension
& Spratt as Pensioners
may have

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. NO. 4305
DATE 13-11-93

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 27/1/93 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 6-7 [illegible]

[illegible]

2. [illegible]

[illegible] 3/ [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 4/9/93

1893.

No.

Name of Petitioner. Siva Ramiah Shekdar of Devalapur Hobli

Village. Nagamangala Taluk now residing in Seringapatam

Taluk.

District. Mysore —

Date of Arzi. 18/12/93

Date of Receipt. 20/12/93.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. — Nil —

ABSTRACT.

Petitioner states that for the last one year he has been under suspension in connection with the return of the documents given by one Shroff Venkatanarasimha Shetty in favor of the supplies built by him at Seringapatam, and requests that his case may be heard in person on a date fixed. hearing which may be

ಪೂಜ್ಯಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀಮಠದ ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಶ್ರೀಕಂಠ
ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿಗಳವರಿಗೆ ನಮಸ್ಕಾರಗಳು.

ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಹಳ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಪೂಜ್ಯರು
ಸಮಾಧಿಸ್ಥರಾಗಿದ್ದು ಕೊನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ತಿಂಗಳು
ದಂಡಕಾರಣ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿದ್ದು ಶರೀರ ತ್ಯಾಗ ಮಾಡಿದರು.

ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಪೂಜ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಸೇವೆ ಮಾಡಿದ
ಶಿಷ್ಯರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವರು ಈಗ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಉಳಿದವರಲ್ಲಿ
ಸಹ ಕೆಲವರು ಅಶಕ್ತರು. ಕೆಲವರು ಬೇರೆ ಕಡೆ
ಇದ್ದಾರೆ. ಹತ್ತಿರದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವವರಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾರಿಗೂ
ಪೂಜ್ಯರ ಪೂಜಾ ಕೈಂಕರ್ಯಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅಷ್ಟಾಗಿ
ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಪೂಜ್ಯರ ಪೂಜೆಯ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು
ಸಹ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಪೂಜ್ಯರ ಪೂಜೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ
ಸರಿಯಾದ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಇರುವವರು ಯಾರೂ ಇಲ್ಲ.
ಪೂಜ್ಯರ ಪೂಜೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರಿಯಾದ ಮಾಹಿತಿ
ಇರುವವರು ಯಾರಾದರೂ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಅವರಿಂದ
ಪೂಜೆಯ ವಿಧಾನಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿದು ಅದನ್ನು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ
ಆಚರಿಸಿ ಪೂಜ್ಯರ ಅನುಗ್ರಹಕ್ಕೆ ಪಾತ್ರರಾಗಬೇಕೆಂಬ
ಆಸೆ ನನ್ನದು. ಆದರೆ ಪೂಜೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರಿಯಾದ
ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಪೂಜೆ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಪೂಜ್ಯರ
ಅನುಗ್ರಹಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಅವರ ಆಕ್ರೋಶಕ್ಕೆ ಪಾತ್ರರಾಗುವ
ಭಯವೂ ನನಗಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಪೂಜ್ಯರ ಪೂಜೆಯ
ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರಿಯಾದ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಇರುವವರಿಂದ ಪೂಜೆಯ
ವಿಧಾನಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿದು ಪೂಜ್ಯರ ಪೂಜೆಯನ್ನು
ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಬಯಕೆ ನನ್ನದು.

ಇಂತಿ ವಂದನೆಗಳು.

8/12/83

S.A. 16-92-11

Read -

Petition dated 2d Nov.r 93, Regd No. 577/1305, from Sivaramaiya, Sheikdar of Devalapura Hobli, Nagamangala Taluk, praying for the cancellation of the order suspending him from the office of Muzarai Gumasta of Seringapatam Taluk.

2. Also, correspondence ending with Govt Progs: No. 17401-2 G.T. 435-92 dated 21st April 1893 -

Order No 11408-9 G.T. 435-92 8/18-1-94

The Dewan is clearly of opinion that Sivaramaiya cannot be restored to service under the circumstances of the case. His name will therefore be struck off the Rolls with Effect from date of Suspension

suspension - If he has any service at his credit qualifying him for pension, the Deputy Commissioner will submit an application for such pension or gratuity as such service may have earned for him.

Cliff Sec

Secret
8/1/94.

To.

The Deputy Commr. Mysore
Deste.
The Comptroller to Mysore
Govt.

Copy to Dept. in reply to
his Dept. of 2nd. Nov. 93.

Copy to Murray
with reference to his memo
No 368 of 6-10-93

1894.

From

The Comptroller in Mys

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 18 January

Despatched 18

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 3910
No.

~~Sir,~~ S.D. 16-92-12

I have the honor, with reference to Article 471 of the Mysore Service Regulations, to submit for the orders of Government the marginally noted application for pension ~~gratuity~~ duly verified and reported on received with docket No. 3745 dated 16 June 93. from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Comptroller.

The same to: 14304

Name and Designation.	Class of pension or gratuity.	Amount.
B. Tirthamurtiah, late Amildar & Chamrajnagar Taluq	Pension	Rs. 13 3 3

Remarks by Head of Office.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. X Reference is invited to memorandum attached hereto.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. —do—

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant, See Ch: XX. —do—

4. Explanation under Section 59, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. —do—

5. Any other Remarks. Ordered to be retained in Service, with effect from the date of suspension. See last clause of para 4 of Govt: No: Re 17401-92 & 21st April, R. 435-92

6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not (See Rule 6, under Section 84.) X

The Service claimed has been established & must be admitted. — Dewan's Commissioner

Signature.

Certificate and Report of ~~Deputy~~ Comptroller.

Certified that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in Superior grades have been duly proved for ~~30~~ 29 yrs years 10 Months — days; and that a Pension not exceeding Rupees 73-15-~~3~~ 8 Seventy three annas fifteen + pies ~~three~~ 3 is admissable under ~~Section~~ Article 30 (b) of the ~~Civil Pension Code.~~ Mysore Service Regulations. The calculations have been duly verified. The pension which is chargeable to General Revenues has been computed at ~~30~~ 29 eightieths of Rs 147-14-5 the average emoluments drawn during the last five years of service.

G N Subramya

Comptroller, Mysore.

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE,

Bangalore, 18th January 1894.

Attention is invited to Article 317 (a) of the Regulations.

The class of pension should be decided by Govt

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting Allowance.	Date of Beginning.	Date of End.	Period for Service.	Period for Service.	Remarks.	How verified.	by Comptroller.
Bangalore Town Police Court	Judicial Assistant of (a)	8	a	17 July 1860	7 Novr 60	a-a-4	a-3-22	To complete 5 years service.	Service for which under article 27	
				8-11-60	14-5-62	1-2-13	1-6-7	the age of 20 years.		
				15-5-62	10-8-62	0-3-24	0-2-27	Break in service.		
Anbajidorg Taluk (now Chintamony)	Record Keeper Temporary (as per Dewan's Office Statement attached.)	15	a	1-11-8-62	8-9-62	0-0-21				
		8		9-9-62	24-10-62	0-0-0	0-4-16	Leave		
				25-10-62	15-12-62	0-1-20	0-0-0	temporary		
							0-4-6	Expiration of leave.		
Bangalore Taluk	Judicial Assistant	15	a	16-12-62	23-4-65	2-4-7				
	"	15	a	24-4-65	13-6-65	0-1-20	1-2-20	Leave on private affairs as per note in service book.		
	"	15	a	14-6-65	29-6-66	1-0-15	0-0-0			
3rd Class Sheristeadar Commr Court, Bangalore Inam Assts Office	Interpreter	25	a	30-6-66	16-7-69	3-0-17	0-0-0			
	Clerk	50	a	17-7-69	31-7-72	3-0-15	0-0-0			
Do permanency	(B)	25	a	1-8-72	28-2-73	0-7-0	0-0-0	on account of reduction of Establt.		
Asstt Commr Court	Naib Sheristadar	50	a	1-3-73	15-5-76	3-2-15	0-0-0			
Dy: Commr Office	Acting Judge Sheristadar	50	20	16-5-76	6-9-77	1-3-22	0-0-0			
Asstt Commr Court	Naib Sheristadar	50	-	7-9-77	16-3-79	1-6-10	0-0-0			
Dy: Commr Office	Acting Judge Sheristadar	50	20	17-3-79	5-5-79	0-1-19	0-0-0			
Asstt Commr Office	Naib Sheristadar	50	a	6-5-79	25-1-80	0-8-20	0-0-0			
Dy: Commr Office	Head Accountant	50	a	26-1-80	25-6-81	1-5-0	0-0-0			
				26-6-81	30-6-81	0-0-5	0-0-0	Joining time		
Hospet Taluk	5th Grade Clerk	100	a	1-7-81	4-12-82	1-5-4	0-0-0	Joining time		
				5-12-82	18-12-82	0-0-14	0-0-0			
Nagar Taluk	do	100	a	19-12-82	22-1-83	0-1-5	0-0-0	Joining time		
				23-1-83	28-1-83	0-0-6	0-0-0			
Hospet Taluk	do	100	a	29-1-83	10-8-87	4-3-12	0-0-11	Leave on private affairs as per order of Magistrate kept in this office.		
		100		12-5-87	22-5-87	0-0-11	0-0-0			
				3-5-88	31-3-88	0-10-28	0-0-0			
	4th Grade	150	a	1-4-88	5-7-88	0-3-5	0-0-0			
				6-7-88	4-8-88	0-0-29	0-0-30	Leave & pay.		
				5-8-88	21-11-89	1-3-16	0-0-0			
				22-11-89	5-12-89	0-0-14	0-0-0	Joining time		
Dharmsangapatna Taluk	do	150	a	6-12-89	24-8-92	2-8-20	0-0-0			
				25-8-92	31-8-92	0-0-7	0-0-0	Joining time		
Chamrajnagar Taluk	do	150	-	1-9-92	15-1-93	0-4-15	0-0-0			
					Total -	29-10-0	2-7-30			

Memo No. 1078/8291

Comptroller's Memo
No. 2230 of 26 June/93

Returned only
verified as per
statement attached.

By Order
Nirmala Rao
Asst. Secretary

Imperial Demands Office
Bangalore 15 July/93

A.G.S.

To the Comptroller & Court of Impress

Application for Pension or Gratuity.

Date of application.

Name of applicant.

Last appointment.

Class of pension or gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

405 ~

(Finl. Serial No. 104.) 16

Application for Pension or Gratuity.

1. Name of Applicant. Belawadee Lakshmeenarayan.
2. Father's Name. D Venkatakrishnahsa.
3. Sect or Caste. Babboorkaumuny Smartha Bra
4. Residence. Yedatoray & Saligrama — Now Inge
5. Present or last employment including name of Establishment. Fourth grade Amildo Chamrajnagar Taluq
6. Date of beginning of service. 17th July 1860 — (20)
7. Date of end of service. 15th January 1893 — (53)
8. Length of service including breaks — Yrs. M. D. 32 ~~6 1~~ 5 — 30
   of which superior — ~~21 11 8~~ 29 — 10 — 0
   inferior — ~~2 6 0~~ 2 — 5 — 0
   Non-qualifying and breaks — ~~1 0 13~~ 0 — 2 — 30
9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application * Pension
   having ~~resigned~~ retired ~~his~~ after qualifying service, and ordered
10. (Average) emoluments or pay. Rs. 147 — 14 — 5. retired in Govt Proc: No 1740 S.F.4
11. Proposed pension. Rs 73 — 15 — 3½ 3 M 921/4/
12. Proposed gratuity. " — " —
13. Date from which to commence. 16th January 93.
14. Place of payment. Mysore
15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era.† 8th November 1840
16. Height. Feet 5 Inches 4
17. Marks. Second finger of the left foot has no nail and one scar below both knees —
18. Date

Name
Deputy Commissioner
Signature of Head of Office.

If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment wh has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893. 94

From

To The Comptroller to the Govt of Singapore

Dated 26th February 94

Despatched 26

Received S.A. 16-92-13

Enclosures No.

General No. 14304

S.A. No. 435-92

Written D.O. Sent & Expedite Reply
4/4/94

His letter No. 3910 dd 18th January 94, forwarding & submitting duly verified & reported on the Pension Application of B. Ratchumunaiah, late Amildar of the Chamrajnagar Taluq

Has the honor to request that the statement of service prepared from the accounts in the Pension Office, which is page 2 of the above Applin

is noted in the
Comptroller's Office
& have been attached
thereto, not having
been received therein
may be transmitted
to this Office immediately
as it is required in reference to the enclosed
head of the question of the auditor's decision

"Z. C."

Enclosed

No. 5695

Q 5122 87 5-

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE
4 - APR. 94
MYSORE

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, 4th April 1894.

24

From

E. R. SUBBRAYAR, ESQ.,

Comptroller of Mysore.

To

S.A. 16-92-14

The Chief Secretary to G
Dewan of Mysr

SIR,

With reference to your docket
No. 14304 dated 26th February 1894, Q. 435-92
the honor to enclose a copy of the
Statement of Service therein calle
for in connection with the pensio
application of P. Lakshminarasi
late Amildar of Channapatna Tal
as the original is not forthcoming.

I have the hono
Sir
Your most obedient Servan

G Subbrayar

Comptroller
of Mysore

Statement of Services of B. Lakshmeenarniah 4th Grade amildar Chamrajnagar Taluq
to the ~~Huzur~~ accounts in the records of the Dewan's Office according

Office.	Designation.	Pay.	From		To		Period of Service.	
			Date.	Reference.	Date.	Reference.	Permanent.	Temporary or Acting.
Bangalore Town Munsiff's Court	Gumasta	8 " "	17-7-1860	Baraward	14-5-1862	Baraward	1 9 29	
Ambajidurga Taluq	Temporary Record Gumasta	8 " "	11-8-1862	Fysalathi Sheet Rs 39.	16-12-1862	Fysalathi Sheet Rs 39.	" " "	4 6
Bangalore Taluq	Judicial Gumasta	15 " "	16-12-1862	Do- sheet Rs 38.	31-3-1863.	Do- sheet Rs 38.	" 3 16	" " "
						Total	2 1 14	4 6

Note. The applicant was dismissed on the 15th May 1862. for overstaying his leave. Pay for the 16-Dec 62. was paid to the applicant both in the ~~Chamrajnagar~~ Ambajdroog & Bangalore Taluqs.

True Copy

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,
Bangalore 15 July 1893.

W. Thompson Hutt
Offg. Chief Asst & Comptroller

B. Ry. Narasimha Row
Under-Secretary.

5145

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, 1894.

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE 5 - APR. 94 MYSORE

From

E. R. SUBBRAYAR, ESQ.,

Comptroller of Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

S. A. 16-92-15

SIR,

In reply to Demi official of Under Secretary received to day I have the honor to state that the Pension application of B. Lachminarainiah was returned to your office duly reported on with my letter No 3910 of 18th January last.

On the 26th February 94 in your docket No 1430 4 435-92 the statement of service rendered by the applicant prior to 1863 which was prepared in your office and sent inadvertantly to the Deputy Commissioner Mysore by this office on the 18th January 1894 with other vernacular correspondence, was called for. Immediately on its receipt the Deputy Commissioner was asked to return the same and also a reminder was sent on the 19th March 1894 but without effect. However to save any further delay

ly since received without the statement

delay in the disposal of the case, a copy of the statement was obtained from the Dead Letter Department of your office and sent with this office No. 5695 dated 4th instant.

A statement of applications pending disposal is being prepared and will follow.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedient Servant

E. M. Bromwell
Comptroller

Read again:—

Rev: & Govt
No. 17401-2
F.7.435-92 dt 21st April 1893, ordering the retirement of Lakshmanan and the late Amildar of the Chamrajnagar Talk.

16-92-16

Read also:—

Letters No. 3910 dt 18th January and No. 569 dt 2nd April 1894, from the Controller to the Govt of Mysore submitting for orders duly verified and reported on the Pension Application of the above mentioned Amildar received from the Dy: Comr. Mysore dt.

V
1 c 16993-4 dt 9-4-94
F 435-92 dt 10

der thereon.

The retirement of

Amildar ... [illegible] with effect

Ramappa [was allowed] from 16 Jan 93

under Suspension was directed

Pro: No 313-4½ by the Govt in

Ex. J. 115-92 Proc No 1740-3

10th January 1893, G.J. 435-92,

in his consent to and having regard

authorized of returning to the qualifying

while in charge of service of 29 yrs

the Seringapatam Taluk 4 mos & 10 days

of one Pippadhatta service and 2 years

certain valuable Secuni- part in this Office

-ties which had been the Govt as a Special Case

deposited in the Serinja- Sanction for him,

-patam Taluk Treasury a "Retiring pension"

in trust for a temple.

On passing Final

~~Orders on the same,~~ in Proc

~~the Govt vide Proc. No~~

~~granted abroad~~

740-2 ~~directed~~

Ex. J. 435-92

the Amildar with effect

from the date of

his suspension viz

10th January 1893.

2. The Comptroller has ~~now~~ submitted the Pension Application of Lakshminarasappa certifying to a qualifying service of 29 yrs and 10 months and requesting orders as the "class" of pension which the case should be granted, the qualifying service rendered by the Amildar falls slightly short of the 30 years required for a "Retiring Pension".

3. Upon an Examination of the Amildar's

to be observed that
he rendered inferior
Service on pay less
than Rs 10 per mensem
for 2 yrs 2 mths 5 days from
17th July 1860 to 16th
Decr 1862 with a
short break of nearly
3 months in the
interval. Upon the
peculiar circumstances
of this case and in
consideration of the
fact that the Amildar
has rendered much
longer Service, though
inferior, than what
is required for the
present purpose,
the Govt are pleased
to direct that he be
considered to have
rendered qualifying
Service for 30 years

and to elapse thereafter as a Retiring

4. ~~Under the provisions of article~~
(under 320(4) of the Insurance Regulations)
~~a Retiring Pension~~
of £2.(73-15-3)
Seventy three, and
fifteen and three
Three ~~of~~ per men
-sem ~~to accordingly~~
~~Pensioned to be~~
~~paid to Annuitant~~
~~Contributions~~
with effect from
the 16th January
1893.

7.4.94

9/4/94

To The Controller
with copy of the 7th
page of the Pension
application
Rec'd. Cancr. Insurance &c

Endorsed

R Miller
Pet Hrs on the
Open Pet 435 of 92

Favorably
Memo at time
HA
9/4

Draft Draft
Called for appl

The Chamber having been
duly suspended it is ope
d the Court to produce
his petition, r. 317(a)
of the Regulations.
HA
5/4/92

General Branch.

~~Returned, for file~~

Putup reference

VJ 1/8

No. 385

Name of Petitioner. Sivaramaiah

Village. Belepete,

Taluk. Bangalore

District. SA 16-92-17

Date of Arri. 2-8-94

Date of Receipt. 4-8-94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

General Branch

Please put-up.

reference

VCW 2-8

2/8/94

Petition O Branch.

Ref No 435-92, containing the reference quoted in this Petition

put up

8/8

8/94.

Subd to the Ch Secy

VJ 1/9 Papers required.

Cannot be granted

While petitions on the same subject will not be attended to

Dfte Cantonment 10th Feb 94

VJ 17/1

ABSTRACT.

States that he was the Shanbhogue of Devalapur Hobli in the Nagamangala Taluk, that he was removed from the Service under Government Pro. no 1114 dated 22-6-94 and prays that he might be restored to his post as he is not guilty of any of the charges as may be seen on perusal of the records

PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 3857
DATE 4-8-94

రాంబిద్రురంద్రరాం-

రన్. శ్రీ. శీలాద్రి టి. య్యన్. శ్రీ. సి. ంయన్
టి

దిం ద్రీప్రిమ్ంరలు—

లులరరమహాంన్నన్నిద్రానదల్లం

ప్రైశ్నుంరు త్రిస్థుల్ప్ప నాంగమంగలశింల్లా
కు చేలవలాంప్రురద శ్రీంల శళి శీల్ప్రదాంర
గాంగిలు ల్ద. శ్రీ వరాంవ్ళి ంయ్య. శ్ల్ప్రశ వళిన
వదేల్లనంద శ—

మంహాంన్నిద్రానదింద అశ్వినీంయ
దశినవరి 18 నేమరళ్ళం 11410 నేలనం
గ్ 7435-92
వీ ఇంతాంర్తీ శింలున్న శిరగహాంవాహసిదేన

అశ్వినీదారనళల్లి అశింది తేవాం
చీంల్లిళివు శ్రీద్రమదింద లుం. గనిం యుంం
శిమశీలల్లుల్లదేనతవళిశీనతద్దలింద
లులంగనంమంద్ద వాందశిష్టమూనగళిల్లివళ
గన్నిద్రానద. శంం ళ్లేంతే. గీశ్వింద్లల్లు. ప్రా
యలశం: లులవసు. ద్రవ్యనాదాంనేంబ్తీళళ
ఫలాగమంటి: వాంధిర్తిళికొంంతనలు-అల్లం

ಲವನ ಮನೋಲ್ಲಾಸಪಡಿಸಿಂಗವಾದರ್ಶ ಲುವ
ಶ್ರದ್ಧಗತಿಯಿಲ್ಲನಿಲಿ—

ಶರಂತು ಶಂಭಿಲ್ಲಾಂತಿಮಂತರತ್ನನೊ
ರನು ತನ್ನ ಹಲ್ಲಪ್ಪು ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಲುಂದ
ನ್ಗಾರದಕೆ ಲನಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮಂತ್ರಿಪ್ಪಿಡುವ ಅ
ಳಿಂಬಲಂತೆ ಲಿಚ್ಚ ಲಿಸುವದು ಲುವನತೆ
ವ್ಹಳಾಂಧ್ಯಲಿಂದ ಅತ್ರಿಕಾರಂ ನಡಪುಕೊಂ
ದ್ಧಚಿತ್ತಿಗಿ ಲುವನ್ನ ಜಿಲ್ವನಾಂತೆ ತಿಲ್ಲಿನೀ
ದು ಲುವನಲುಂಲನ್ನಕೆಗೆ ಮಿಂಚಿದದಂ
ನೆಂಬಲಂತೆ ತನ್ನಿ ದ್ರಾವತ್ಕೆ ವಿದಿತವಿರದೇ ಲುರಲಾರದ
ಲುವನ ಅಡಲಾಂಲನ್ನಕಲಂತಿಲು ತನ್ನಿ ದ್ರಾನರ
ನ್ಗಿನ ವಲ್ಲಿವು ಅಂತಿಮಂಡಿತಿಲುಂಳ್ಳುವದಕೆ
ಲುವನು ಅಶ್ವಲಂತೆ ಅಲ್ಲನೊರಿರನಾಂಧ್ಯಲಿಂದ
ಸಂಕ್ಲಿಷ್ಟನಂಲಿಲಾಗಿಲಿಗೆ ಅಡಗಿನಲ್ಲಾ ತಿರ್ದ
ಲಂದುನಿಬಲಿಂದ ಅಂಶಗಳು ತಿಂಪ್ರೋಣಿಂ
ಲಂಗಿ ತನ್ನಿ ದ್ರಾನದ ನೋಲ್ಲಪಿಲಿಸಿಗೆ ತಿರುವದಿ
ಲ್ಲಂ ಯಲಂನಿಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಅಜಿಡಿದಾರನು ಲುಂಪ್ರಾ
ಶಿಷ್ಟಿಗಳುಂಬುಂದನು—

ಲುವನಿಗೆ ತೆಲುತಿಪ್ಪಿದ 20 ತಿಂಗಳಾಂತಿಪ್ಪ
ಲುವದಮೊಂತ್ಕ ನಂನಾರದೊಂದಿಗೆ ತಿರಿತಿಲುಕೆ
ಲುವ ತಿಪ್ಪಿಲುನ್ನ ಲಿಖಿತ ಮುಂಲ್ಲ ಅಂತೆ

ನು. ಉಲಾಂಗನಂ ಪ್ಲಂದ್ರ ವಂದಂಲು ಲ್ಲಾಂತಿಶಾರ್ತ್ರ
ಗಳ್ಳಲು. ಟ್ಲಿನವು ಭಿತ್ತಿದಲ್ಲಾ. ಪ್ರಿನರಂತಲಲಾಂ
ತಿನಿಗ ತೆಗದುತ್ತಿಂತಾರು. ಉಲವನನ್ನ. ಜಿಲವ
ನ ಕಲಿಂಪ್ಪು ತ್ತಿಂತಾಂತ ಬಿಲ್ಲತ್ತೆಂತಿಲಾಂ. ಉಲಾಂ
ಗಲುರಲ್ಲಾ. ತಾಂಪು ಕಾಂಡೆ ವತ್ತಿಲಮಂದಿನವನ್ನ.
ಉಲಂತಾರ್ರು ವಲಂಲ್ಲ. ಅವ್ವಿಲಿಲುಲಾಂಗಬಿಲ
ತೆಂತ ಬಿಲ್ಲಲು ತಗಿನೆ — ಗವರ್ಜ್ಜ ಭಿತ್ತಿತ್ತೆಲಿ
ನ ತೆವಿರಬಿಲ್ಲತ್ತೆ.

ಇತಿ ತಲಂವಲು

2/8/24

ಚಿಂಗಳ್ಳಾಲುಗಳ
ತಿಲಪೆ

S.A. 16-92-18

By order

Draftsman

Chief Secretary